










1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		7.7%	16
Europe		28.8%	60
Middle East		2.9%	6
North America		55.3%	115
Africa		1.0%	2
Latin America/Caribbean		3.4%	7
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		1.0%	2
answered question			208
skipped question			0






2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		12.5%	26
2 - 5		13.0%	27
6 - 10		14.4%	30
11 - 19		19.7%	41
>20		40.4%	84
answered question			208
skipped question			0

3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member		6.8%	10
IAB member		4.8%	7
IRSG Member		2.1%	3
IAOC-Trust member		1.4%	2
Nomcom member		2.7%	4
Working Group chair		33.6%	49
Author of active working group draft		64.4%	94
Author of active individual submission draft		60.3%	88
Full Time Student		8.2%	12
answered question			146
skipped question			62

4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950		1.4%	3
1950 - 1960		23.6%	49
1961 - 1970		37.5%	78
1971 - 1980		28.4%	59
After 1980		9.1%	19
answered question			208
skipped question			0

5. Did you attend IETF 85 in Atlanta?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		2.9%	6
Yes		96.6%	201
No		0.5%	1
answered question			208
skipped question			0

6. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		31.0%	61
5 to 10 hours		31.0%	61
11 to 20 hours		29.9%	59
> 20 hours		8.1%	16
answered question			197
skipped question			11

7. Did you have to apply for a visa to attend IETF 85?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		8.6%	17
No		91.4%	180
answered question			197
skipped question			11

8. How would you rate the meeting wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	3.6% (7)	21.3% (42)	74.1% (146)	0.5% (1)	4.70	197
NOC	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.5% (3)	6.7% (13)	26.2% (51)	65.6% (128)	4.72	195
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.0% (2)	4.6% (9)	19.0% (37)	75.4% (147)	4.73	195
Terminal Room	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.6% (9)	19.9% (39)	28.6% (56)	46.9% (92)	4.45	196
							Comments	19
							answered question	197
							skipped question	11

9. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in Hilton guest room	0.5% (1)	4.1% (8)	12.2% (24)	29.1% (57)	31.1% (61)	23.0% (45)	4.12	196
Meeting facilities	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.6% (17)	34.5% (68)	56.9% (112)	0.0% (0)	4.48	197
Hotel Staff	0.5% (1)	1.0% (2)	8.2% (16)	35.2% (69)	45.9% (90)	9.2% (18)	4.38	196
Food and beverage	1.0% (2)	4.6% (9)	21.3% (42)	37.6% (74)	33.5% (66)	2.0% (4)	4.00	197
						Comments		45
						answered question		197
						skipped question		11

10. How would you rate the following?



	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.0% (0)	1.5% (3)	12.7% (25)	52.8% (104)	29.9% (59)	3.0% (6)	4.15	197
Power strips availability	0.0% (0)	3.6% (7)	14.2% (28)	42.6% (84)	37.6% (74)	2.0% (4)	4.17	197
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	0.5% (1)	19.8% (39)	55.3% (109)	23.9% (47)	4.71	197
Registration experience	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	22.8% (45)	76.6% (151)	0.0% (0)	4.76	197
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	3.0% (6)	12.2% (24)	84.3% (166)	4.74	197
Visa processing	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.0% (2)	2.6% (5)	7.7% (15)	88.8% (174)	4.59	196

Comments 13

answered question 197

skipped question 11

11. Did you attend the Bit-N-Bites event Thursday night?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		69.0%	136
No		31.0%	61
		answered question	197
		skipped question	11

12. Were you satisfied with the food and beverages at Bits-N-Bites?

	Neutral	Unsatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Rating Average	Rating Count
	16.6% (25)	7.9% (12)	45.7% (69)	29.8% (45)	2.89	151
					Comments	25
					answered question	151
					skipped question	57

13. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

	Response Count	
	41	
	answered question	41
	skipped question	167



14. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the event.

	Response Count	
	20	
	answered question	20
	skipped question	188




15. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/85/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (12)	31.3% (60)	22.4% (43)	40.1% (77)	4.27	192
							Comments	13
							answered question	192
							skipped question	16

16. Do you read the IETF Journal?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		59.4%	117
No		40.6%	80
		answered question	197
		skipped question	11

17. If yes, in what format do you read the IETF Journal?

		Response Percent	Response Count
On the website		38.3%	46
email newsletter		8.3%	10
Hardcopy		72.5%	87
		answered question	120
		skipped question	88

18. Rank the usefulness of types of articles included in the IETF Journal.

	Neutral	Not Useful	Useful	Very Useful	Extremely Useful	Rating Count
IETF/IAB/IRTF Chair updates	17.2% (22)	3.9% (5)	52.3% (67)	20.3% (26)	6.3% (8)	128
Technical pieces regarding ongoing WG activities	10.9% (14)	0.0% (0)	38.0% (49)	38.8% (50)	12.4% (16)	129
Panel and plenary overviews	16.4% (21)	3.1% (4)	49.2% (63)	28.1% (36)	3.1% (4)	128
BoF activity overviews	14.2% (18)	0.8% (1)	40.9% (52)	33.9% (43)	10.2% (13)	127
Information on Fellows, Awards, and other onsite activities	25.8% (33)	14.8% (19)	43.0% (55)	12.5% (16)	3.9% (5)	128
answered question						129
skipped question						79

19. Are there any other types of articles you'd like to see in the Journal? (If you'd like to be a contributor to the Journal, please leave your name and email address in the section below and we will get back to you.)

	Response Count
	8
answered question	8
skipped question	200

20. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 84All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 84Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.2% (4)	9.7% (18)	6.5% (12)	81.1% (150)	4.14	185
mtd@ietf.org	0.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.7% (5)	9.3% (17)	7.7% (14)	79.8% (146)	4.16	183
85All	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	12.8% (24)	37.2% (70)	34.6% (65)	14.9% (28)	4.24	188
85Attendees	1.0% (2)	3.1% (6)	21.4% (41)	35.4% (68)	28.1% (54)	10.9% (21)	3.97	192
						Comments		19
						answered question		194
						skipped question		14

21. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.6% (3)	3.2% (6)	5.4% (10)	89.7% (166)	4.37	185
WG Leadership	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.7% (5)	5.3% (10)	7.5% (14)	84.5% (158)	4.31	187
Introduction to IETF Tools	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (2)	5.3% (10)	5.3% (10)	88.2% (165)	4.36	187
Understanding Design Tradeoffs in Routing	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.6% (3)	3.2% (6)	10.8% (20)	84.4% (157)	4.59	186

Suggestions for Future Tutorials 11

answered question 188

skipped question 20

22. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wednesday (Administrative, Technical)	1.0% (2)	0.5% (1)	17.9% (35)	44.6% (87)	17.4% (34)	18.5% (36)	3.94	195

Suggestions for Improvement 34

answered question 195

skipped question 13

23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	26
answered question	26
skipped question	182

24. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 86 Orlando	70.9% (139)	4.6% (9)	16.8% (33)	7.7% (15)	196
IETF 87 Berlin	64.3% (126)	5.6% (11)	22.4% (44)	7.7% (15)	196
IETF 88 Vancouver	62.1% (121)	3.1% (6)	25.6% (50)	9.2% (18)	195
				answered question	197
				skipped question	11

25. If you did not attend IETF 85, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		0.0%	0
Expense		0.0%	0
Distance		0.0%	0
Location		0.0%	0
Corporate decision		0.0%	0
Could not get a Visa		100.0%	1
Didn't have information on time to make a decision		0.0%	0
Other (please specify)		0.0%	0
answered question			1
skipped question			207

26. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location, including another location at the meeting, using the Jabber room, audio streaming, and/or Meetecho.?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		0.0%	0
No		100.0%	1
answered question			1
skipped question			207

27. How would you rate the audio streaming, Jabber rooms, and Meetecho in support of your participation?

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	
Jabber room	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	
Meetecho	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	

Comments

answered question

skipped question

28. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 86 Orlando	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1
IETF 87 Berlin	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1
IETF 88 Vancouver	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1
				answered question	1
				skipped question	207

Page 3, Q8. How would you rate the meeting wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

1	Helped with printer, very nice.	Jan 8, 2013 10:46 AM
2	the wireless network was robust at all times.	Dec 24, 2012 2:51 PM
3	What's NOC?	Nov 24, 2012 2:02 PM
4	Outstanding.	Nov 22, 2012 2:39 PM
5	Accessability to the printer in the terminal room could be improved ... had no chance via VPN connection nor iPad ...	Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
6	This is the first meeting where content caching sites, like akamai, were unusably slow (and appeared to be pulling data from extremely far-flung corners of the internet). I'm not sure if it's something on our end or elsewhere, but downloads that should have taken 20 minutes were taking 16 hours. Eventually, I had to set up a VPN just to download content.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
7	Bandwidth was not impressive	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
8	Would appreciate more than just one slow-ish printer.	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
9	chair/table height in the terminal room was suboptimal for my frame, but this is almost universal. Maybe there could be some variation in chair and table heights, though.	Nov 21, 2012 3:06 PM
10	The printer did not configure correctly the first night I tried it. Later, it did manage to configure correctly. Plus, there was no provision to scan to email address.	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
11	Terminal room location was excellent - very convenient to meeting areas and easy to find.	Nov 21, 2012 1:33 PM
12	In particular appreciated the availability of a printer in the terminal room. In order to avoid having to install adriver for a one-off print, what about establishing web service to print PDFs on that printer next time?	Nov 21, 2012 1:30 PM
13	The Wi-Fi channel plan was suboptimal. Only 4 channels (two in 2.4GHz and two in 5GHz) were used. Consider using different channels on the access points, especially on 5GHz.	Nov 21, 2012 1:28 PM
14	very good overall setup, would appreciate if a few laptops are available in terminal room.	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
15	Terminal Room location was not obvious at first.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
16	It was a bit difficult (needed to ask for help) connecting to the printer	Nov 21, 2012 12:29 PM
17	Terminal room printer was incredibly slow.	Nov 21, 2012 12:28 PM
18	Printing facilities were poor and difficult to use - many people were having trouble at the time I was trying to use them	Nov 21, 2012 12:00 PM
19	Did not use the resources but didn't hear any complaints from those in my group that did use them that they were having any issues.	Nov 21, 2012 11:55 AM

Page 3, Q9. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

1	The food choices were *excellent* very much appreciated the finger sandwiches instead of just sugary treats.	Jan 8, 2013 10:46 AM
2	Availability of nearby surrounding high quality hotels was also excellent	Dec 27, 2012 1:07 AM
3	The wireless in the function space was fine. In my hotel room on the 5th floor, it was unusable.	Nov 26, 2012 11:19 AM
4	On some days, the coffee break in the afternoon was scheduled pretty late. That makes it harder to stay awake during that second afternoon session before the coffee break...	Nov 26, 2012 11:03 AM
5	The 24-hour convenience store in the hotel lobby level was very convenient.	Nov 23, 2012 3:41 AM
6	More fruits and vegetables would have been nice	Nov 22, 2012 12:17 PM
7	no ice cream ;-(Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
8	There didn't seem to be a lot of snack and drinks around. I had to search hard.	Nov 21, 2012 10:42 PM
9	The snacks were very good. The manager was clearly watching to ensure that everything went well.	Nov 21, 2012 8:52 PM
10	Wired internet in guest room did not work at all (no dhcp), told NOC, but NOC could not resolve, NOC had no access to physical switch, so only relied on 'Free' wireless which was a bit flaky	Nov 21, 2012 8:52 PM
11	Same network comment as above: This is the first meeting where content caching sites, like akamai, were unusably slow (and appeared to be pulling data from extremely far-flung corners of the internet). I'm not sure if it's something on our end or elsewhere, but downloads that should have taken 20 minutes were taking 16 hours. Eventually, I had to set up a VPN just to download content.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
12	On Fri Nov 9th, Hilton staff changed wireless access network ID, affecting some IETF attendees.	Nov 21, 2012 5:01 PM
13	Great Venue!!!!	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
14	The wired service in the room was slightly better than the wireless, but nowhere near as good as the wireless on the meeting floors. Also, no ice cream this time! The occasional ice cream and/or candy and popcorn are a nice change from the usual snacks.	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
15	I eat Kosher food only, and would be good if the IETF together with the hotel could take of it. especially in the US it should not be a proble,	Nov 21, 2012 3:15 PM
16	love those tuna sammies	Nov 21, 2012 2:54 PM
17	Old hotel, noisy rooms, etc. And a city center with nothing, i.e., something than only someone from USA can appreciate...	Nov 21, 2012 2:16 PM
18	it was very good to have salty options at afternoon break instead of just cookies/brownies	Nov 21, 2012 1:42 PM

Page 3, Q9. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

19	Food and beverage: I did not detect any variation in the fare during the entire week, and frankly got pretty tired of unchanging cookies/brownies/sandwiches. It would be nice if this could be a requirement for future meetings. I have appreciated the even moderate variations often made by the hotel caterers at many previous meetings.	Nov 21, 2012 1:35 PM
20	Nice meeting area layout. The existence of a gathering/mingling area that's convenient to *all* the meeting rooms is big plus to getting things done in hallway conversations. Quebec also had this feature, Paris was ok, but both Taipei and Vancouver fell short, as there were multiple such areas.	Nov 21, 2012 1:33 PM
21	Facilities were much better than in Vancouver (in particular availability of space for offline meetings, and availability of space in the foyer of the meeting rooms, even though the hotel in Vancouver was shinier... Food and beverage was better in ATL than in Vancouver.	Nov 21, 2012 1:30 PM
22	Food was sparse unless you were one of the first to partake. The staff was awesome, but restocking the food tables was not a priority.	Nov 21, 2012 1:28 PM
23	I would have appreciated platters of fresh cut fruit at some of the breaks.	Nov 21, 2012 1:25 PM
24	Lunch should be provided (you can include it in registration cost if need be).	Nov 21, 2012 1:01 PM
25	variety can be added i breakfast like eggs and sliced fruit	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
26	Salon C REALLY REALLY was cold on Monday. This coming from guy who is usually too big and sweaty to complain about cold.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
27	Early in the week, if I dawdled even a little bit, the snacks disappeared extremely quickly and were not renewed. OTOH, I loved the little sandwiches!	Nov 21, 2012 12:49 PM
28	The meeting-space layout was very good; having the large open area for food/drink service helped spread things out and avoid congestion and queues.	Nov 21, 2012 12:37 PM
29	Inside the hotel was great - outside, ehh.	Nov 21, 2012 12:32 PM
30	Great place - lets go back again! or every time	Nov 21, 2012 12:31 PM
31	It's very annoying to know that a substantial portion of my meeting costs went to pay for food and beverages that I can't eat or drink.	Nov 21, 2012 12:16 PM
32	There were bananas! That was awesome!	Nov 21, 2012 12:14 PM
33	Some rooms where pretty cold	Nov 21, 2012 12:11 PM
34	In the guest room, only wired access was available; this was good, but WiFi would have been handy for devices without ethernet ports.	Nov 21, 2012 12:09 PM
35	food was tasty, but too often ran out.	Nov 21, 2012 12:07 PM
36	Cannot understand why there was no jam to go with the cream cheese for the bagels. i thought that was an American standard	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM

Page 3, Q9. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

37	More breakfast variety (e.g., oat meal).	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM
38	Venue rate was unreasonably high, though it was great to have the Hyatt and Marriott connected by skybridge -- I stayed elsewhere for the week.	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM
39	Poor food&beverage applies to hotel "restaurants", not to the excellent non-cookey selection during the breaks.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM
40	When I checked in, I was asked if I had a car and needed parking, to which I replied that I did not. However, after checkout, I noticed a parking charge on my bill, which I had to correct with the accounting office during business hours. I was really tempted to select "Fair" for hotel staff, but general experience was good.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM
41	Wireless LAN did not work in my room (25th floor). Fortunately the Ethernet wired link was OK.	Nov 21, 2012 11:58 AM
42	Sandwich option at break was nice	Nov 21, 2012 11:57 AM
43	The meeting facilities and availability of areas for private discussions were among the best of any IETF.	Nov 21, 2012 11:57 AM
44	Great location!	Nov 21, 2012 11:55 AM
45	No wireless in the hotel room! Hotel dark, dingy, poor rooms, poor location.	Nov 21, 2012 11:54 AM

Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the following?

1	The screens for the slide presentations could not be seen very except for the first few rows in the room. Due to the low room ceilings, the screens were not high enough and thus the lower part was blocked from view by other people in the room.	Nov 26, 2012 11:03 AM
2	In a number of rooms the screen was not high enough to be fully visible by all attendees	Nov 23, 2012 3:30 PM
3	Some power strips were broken	Nov 22, 2012 2:19 AM
4	Atlanta was a fantastic venue!	Nov 21, 2012 10:13 PM
5	The projectors seemed to be a bit underbright for the rooms in several sessions.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
6	Some projectors seemed improperly focused.	Nov 21, 2012 3:06 PM
7	I wish there were a way to "automatically" register using the same credit card as last time on the day before the deadline.	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
8	Through the web-based agenda, the IETF has made the availability of working group charters and drafts EASY to find. However, it is much less easy to track down the actual slides for each working group for the on-site meetings in real-time. In some cases, I could find them off of the working group web pages, in others not at all. It would be great to get some consistency on that front as well. This would also be helpful in rooms where it is very hard to see the slides being projected (e.g., when the room is large and the slides far away and the fonts too small, when one's seat is behind someone tall, when slides get cropped at the bottom or sides by the AV gear).	Nov 21, 2012 2:26 PM
9	regarding A/V equipment: some of the meeting rooms had very low ceilings, limiting how big the screen could be. Was hard to see the screen over the heads of people in front of me, and also screen was too small to be read from back of the room. Meeting rooms with low ceilings should only be used for small meetings where everyone can sit close enough to the screen. Please add "check ceiling height" when surveying site meeting rooms for acceptability.	Nov 21, 2012 1:38 PM
10	Video sceen were too smal in some room.	Nov 21, 2012 12:36 PM
11	Exceptionally friendly registration staff. Thxs!	Nov 21, 2012 12:27 PM
12	Meetings are still being handled very poorly, with too much time devoted to presentations and too little time devoted to discussion. But that's not the secretariat's fault, nor the fault of the site. The best thing that could happen to fix it might be for all of the video projectors to break down.	Nov 21, 2012 12:16 PM
13	small screens and remote audio issues	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q12. Were you satisfied with the food and beverages at Bits-N-Bites?

1	could have used more diet cokes	Dec 24, 2012 2:51 PM
2	Demoes and posters, although few, were a really welcome part of the evning - please encourage more.	Nov 27, 2012 5:48 AM
3	I came in a bit late, and a lot of stuff had been cleaned out. Welcome to the IETF :-)	Nov 26, 2012 2:22 PM
4	A little bit more variety would have been nice.	Nov 23, 2012 3:41 AM
5	It was outstanding.	Nov 22, 2012 12:19 PM
6	Not enough veggies rest was very nice	Nov 22, 2012 12:17 PM
7	unsatisfied with queues ...	Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
8	The catering this time around was better than most Hilton fare.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
9	Too little food.	Nov 21, 2012 3:57 PM
10	Drinks were fine, food selection wasn't great, but quality was excellent	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
11	I was unsure what level of food would be served (ie, is it enough to cover dinner) so I got dinner beforehand and did not eat anything there. Once I got to the event though it was clear that I could have just eaten there and not had to get dinner seperately. The food served was definitely more than just hors d'oeuvres.	Nov 21, 2012 3:46 PM
12	the food itself was tasty enough when some could be obtained, but the room was too small for the number of people, the lines at the food were long, and a bunch of the food ran out pretty early and wasn't replaced in a timely manner.	Nov 21, 2012 2:14 PM
13	The food was (just) OK. But the food layout was unable to handle well the size of the crowd.	Nov 21, 2012 1:38 PM
14	The food was very good, but there were long delays in getting food.	Nov 21, 2012 1:27 PM
15	I didn't find anything there that I wanted to eat.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
16	found myself in queue whenever I looked around for food, needs better management and more efficient service	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
17	too few stations, food too limited.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
18	people are just running on food, and veggie options were not that good. At the end they even put some meat in front of panels that indicate it was veggie, imagine what comes next...	Nov 21, 2012 12:45 PM
19	I thought the food was not great.	Nov 21, 2012 12:31 PM
20	There was no that much vega stuffs	Nov 21, 2012 12:21 PM
21	The quantity of available food was not sufficient for the whole audience.	Nov 21, 2012 12:20 PM
22	I did not try to eat there, only socialized and had beer. I think it needs to be clear	Nov 21, 2012 12:09 PM

Page 3, Q12. Were you satisfied with the food and beverages at Bits-N-Bites?

that this is not a supper.

23	Lines were long. Food ran out too quickly. Food was better in Vancouver.	Nov 21, 2012 12:07 PM
24	No chance to eat at the start and when I had the food had all gone	Nov 21, 2012 12:00 PM
25	Very crowded, if I recall correctly.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q13. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

1	stuff that is related to new RFCs / implementations	Dec 4, 2012 7:16 AM
2	A mixture of demoes of new technologies, poster presentations of hot topics (e.g. people with travel grants), and some industry exhibits of local interest	Nov 27, 2012 5:48 AM
3	demos, rtcweb, iot, sdn,	Nov 26, 2012 6:55 PM
4	Proof of concept of new internet technologies	Nov 25, 2012 9:05 PM
5	Services that would be of interest to developers.	Nov 25, 2012 2:03 PM
6	Anything less dry	Nov 23, 2012 3:30 PM
7	Demos	Nov 22, 2012 12:17 PM
8	MORE	Nov 22, 2012 10:03 AM
9	Doesn't matter - just more of them!	Nov 22, 2012 8:06 AM
10	vendors products and projects demo results	Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
11	More geek toys	Nov 22, 2012 4:23 AM
12	More displays of vendor equipment.	Nov 21, 2012 10:42 PM
13	network products, networkable consumer products	Nov 21, 2012 8:52 PM
14	demo, project presentation, organizations	Nov 21, 2012 4:29 PM
15	demo of experimental products, more details about the products of the sponsors	Nov 21, 2012 4:28 PM
16	Research projects and prototypes	Nov 21, 2012 4:04 PM
17	More from other standards groups. Would also like more of a world fair sort of approach someday that is more designed to amaze.	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
18	More technical demonstrations would have been interesting. The IPv6 internet-of-things demonstration was very compelling, would have been great to see more things like that.	Nov 21, 2012 3:46 PM
19	experiments and their results	Nov 21, 2012 3:42 PM
20	Who looks at the exhibits? :-)	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
21	Whatever helps pay the bills.	Nov 21, 2012 3:27 PM
22	Live demos	Nov 21, 2012 2:27 PM
23	I am a bit surprised to write this but more vendors?	Nov 21, 2012 2:16 PM
24	More varied products/	Nov 21, 2012 1:52 PM
25	demonstration of implementations of IETF protocols, use of IETF protocols in real world.	Nov 21, 2012 1:42 PM

Page 3, Q13. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

26	Any kind of information to help to know other people will be interesting	Nov 21, 2012 1:17 PM
27	Hands-on demos.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
28	demonstrations setups and simulations about new services	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
29	I liked what was there. I liked that there were products relating to current active IETF efforts. More would be good, and the ability to get deeper into things would be great.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
30	Internet of things	Nov 21, 2012 12:36 PM
31	Special product exhibits from industry giants just beyond ICAN and the like	Nov 21, 2012 12:23 PM
32	All was fine	Nov 21, 2012 12:21 PM
33	I would like to see a "geek tech faire" where maybe 5 tables are given to highlight key technologies both standard and work-in-progress. WGs can "sell" their protocols -- explain what problems it solves and why we should care about it	Nov 21, 2012 12:18 PM
34	No suggestions - this was by far the best one yet!	Nov 21, 2012 12:07 PM
35	The exhibits seemed very limited	Nov 21, 2012 12:01 PM
36	New technologies, Demo prototypes	Nov 21, 2012 12:01 PM
37	more technical stands	Nov 21, 2012 11:58 AM
38	Not sure	Nov 21, 2012 11:55 AM
39	Flyer with booths and proposed experiments	Nov 21, 2012 11:53 AM
40	Demonstrations of emerging technologies.	Nov 21, 2012 11:53 AM
41	More actual technology exhibits	Nov 21, 2012 11:52 AM

Page 3, Q14. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the event.

1	To help non-native attenders' understanding & to keep quality of meeting, Chairs are highly required to speak standard English, not slang or casual English.	Nov 25, 2012 9:05 PM
2	The food at the front was all gone, but there was plenty in the back. Maybe you can find a space with doors at both ends of the room.	Nov 25, 2012 2:03 PM
3	More things to lean or sit on	Nov 23, 2012 3:30 PM
4	Generally speaking, the exhibitors' signs did a very poor job of communicating their messages. Most people attending are not going to be bothered to ask questions of the exhibitors, so the signs need to be a lot better at communicating each company's message.	Nov 23, 2012 3:41 AM
5	Still very limited.	Nov 22, 2012 8:06 AM
6	maybe universities and research activities could also be presented.	Nov 21, 2012 4:29 PM
7	For me the 4 most important items for a great IETF meeting are 1.) Single General area for both hotel and meetings where people can meet and catch up. 2.) Blazing fast network 3.) Good, easy food access 4.) Hotel&Meetings in same building Only thing that could have been improved was the network. IETF 85 was awesome!	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
8	Maybe a larger room next time, it was pretty crowded.	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
9	Try to find a larger room?	Nov 21, 2012 2:16 PM
10	The Bits-N-Bites room was still too crowded. (It was way too crowded at IETF84. It was only slightly improved at IETF85.)	Nov 21, 2012 1:38 PM
11	It will help if we read some of the RFC that it will be treat in the meeting before to attempt the venue	Nov 21, 2012 1:17 PM
12	1. Lunch should be provided 2. Morning session is only 2.5 hours. There is just 2.5 hours between breakfast and lunch which is too small. 3. After lunch, the program goes from 1-7 which is a lot. It should be 3-4 hrs in morning and then start at 2. 4.	Nov 21, 2012 1:01 PM
13	Well I would say networking opportunities should be enhanced by arranging workshops and involving industry professionals	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
14	maybe some changes to location of food vs exhibitors.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
15	Room was a bit small. T-shirt winning animation was good.	Nov 21, 2012 12:36 PM
16	Have it in a bigger room so it is not so crowded around a few tables.	Nov 21, 2012 12:18 PM
17	more vendors, more exhibits	Nov 21, 2012 12:01 PM
18	Less crowded in general.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM
19	Have one in Knoxville at the UT campus or the Convention Center or at UT Battelle (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)	Nov 21, 2012 11:55 AM

Page 3, Q14. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the event.

20	Need a bigger room or some attention to traffic flow. It's very hard to move around and view exhibits	Nov 21, 2012 11:52 AM
----	---	-----------------------

**Page 3, Q15. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/85/meeting-packet.pdf>**

1	Didn't really see it. But I prefer information on a Web site anyway.	Nov 26, 2012 11:03 AM
2	some info on the site (ATL) would have been useful	Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
3	All I need is the Tools version of the agenda and the meeting materials page.	Nov 22, 2012 4:23 AM
4	I did not know it existed	Nov 21, 2012 1:13 PM
5	Did not use.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
6	I haven't looked at the program book in years. Or: "There's a program book?"	Nov 21, 2012 12:37 PM
7	Never look at it.	Nov 21, 2012 12:32 PM
8	What program book?	Nov 21, 2012 12:29 PM
9	I didn't know there was a meeting program book.	Nov 21, 2012 12:14 PM
10	It would be nice to be able to load all or a selection of the information into a calendar with .ics files	Nov 21, 2012 12:00 PM
11	What's the point of this?	Nov 21, 2012 11:54 AM
12	I just use the iPhone app.	Nov 21, 2012 11:53 AM
13	Haven't used it for many meetings now. We've got this newfangled Internet thing...	Nov 21, 2012 11:52 AM

Page 3, Q19. Are there any other types of articles you'd like to see in the Journal? (If you'd like to be a contributor to the Journal, please leave your name and email address in the section below and we will get back to you.)

1	upcoming future BOFs and grapevine news	Dec 4, 2012 7:16 AM
2	Related SDO and involvement with IETF.	Nov 26, 2012 6:55 PM
3	review of latest technical issues in the Internet	Nov 25, 2012 9:05 PM
4	even more technical tutorial nature stuff	Nov 24, 2012 2:02 PM
5	NOTE: I picked up the hardcopy of the journal and found it quite interesting. Hadn't seen the journal before.	Nov 21, 2012 2:26 PM
6	Perhaps something about internet governance	Nov 21, 2012 1:13 PM
7	good case studies should be included	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
8	I would consider being a contributor depending on desired content, particularly if content can overlap with what I do for my employer's blog -- Bill Cerveny, wcerveny@arbor.net	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q20. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 84All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 84Attendees...

1	85 attendees has a very high noise to signal ratio.	Nov 26, 2012 11:19 AM
2	85Attendees is only useful because it take the noise off of ietf@ietf.org	Nov 25, 2012 2:03 PM
3	The aliases appears too noisy sometimes. But I guess that comes with attendees' personalities.	Nov 23, 2012 5:53 AM
4	As usually, the signal-to-noise ration on the 85* mailing lists was rather low.	Nov 23, 2012 3:41 AM
5	Too much chatter on attendees list.	Nov 22, 2012 8:06 AM
6	85 attendees gets off topic quickly, but some of the information is useful	Nov 22, 2012 6:22 AM
7	I developed an allergy to the inevitable bitching and moaning on IetfAttendees lists and stopped subscribing a while ago... Would value the real import stuff be sent to 85all..	Nov 22, 2012 5:22 AM
8	I didn't need the NOC this time but the ticket system is essential	Nov 22, 2012 4:23 AM
9	As usual, the attendees list was a mix of very good information and marginally annoying noise. This signal-to-noise ratio drops to almost exactly zero as soon as the meeting ends: there is no longer anything useful to be said about the meeting venue or host city. It would be nice if the list could be automatically torn down Friday afternoon (or Monday morning at the latest, to catch people still in the city over the weekend). I see no reason for conversations about the venue to continue after the meeting is over.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
10	85attendees got some very long threads that were not needed.	Nov 21, 2012 3:06 PM
11	I don't think that the nattering on the mailing lists is something that you can fix. Notably, the wording of this question needed proofreading :-)	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
12	Lots of traffic on the attendees list - unavoidable, but there are good nuggets of information that show up there.	Nov 21, 2012 1:33 PM
13	Too much chatter on 85attendees.... Information density is too low, and it arrives at a time when one is already really busy.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
14	The attendees list was pretty well behaved this time, until it ratholed into bickering about whether the meeting-room temperatures were too hot/too cold/juuuust right.	Nov 21, 2012 12:37 PM
15	Presumably you mean 85All and 85Attendees.	Nov 21, 2012 12:29 PM
16	The annoying thing for me is that 85Attendees went into the same mailbox that I used for registration, and there was too much traffic on it. Next time I'll probably just opt out of all of it.	Nov 21, 2012 12:16 PM
17	There is so much nonsense on the attendee list. It amazes me that members of IETF can't google to find out how to get to the hotel or where there's an ATM.	Nov 21, 2012 12:14 PM
18	I didnt know the mtd list existed - not well publicised	Nov 21, 2012 12:00 PM

Page 3, Q20. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 84All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 84Attendees...

19	I didn't track 85All ... it is frequently challenging for me to keep up with high volume e-mail lists and do my day job at the same time :-)	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM
----	--	-----------------------

Page 3, Q21. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

1	Fiancé appreciated the companion meet and greet.	Jan 8, 2013 10:46 AM
2	Tutorials on latest tech issues of the Internet.	Nov 25, 2012 9:05 PM
3	Many people don't arrive until late on Sunday. I wonder whether we could arrange for the Newcomers Training to be held at an additional time during the week. Maybe as a breakfast meeting (to fit in with the schedule).	Nov 23, 2012 3:30 PM
4	I plan to attend WG Leadership next time I attend (probably Berlin).	Nov 21, 2012 3:55 PM
5	But I normally I love to. Yes! 1.) how to write drafts humans actually WANT to read 2.) how to present ideas without making people hate you	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
6	It's hard for WG chairs to attend some of the Sunday talks because they conflict with the meet&greet. Don't know what to suggest.	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
7	Please put more hours in my day so I can attend.	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
8	i was a newcomer but was unable to attend the newcomer training. i would have liked to, though, and hope to attend at the next meeting.	Nov 21, 2012 2:14 PM
9	more classes like these should be arranged	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
10	run some of these classes at other times in the week.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
11	A tutorial on SDN technology in general would be useful (and would probably be oversubscribed), although the SDN discussions at IETF84 and 85 have been useful and informative.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q22. How do you rate the Plenaries?

1	combining is good thing. you should keep to that plan.	Dec 24, 2012 2:51 PM
2	It seems having all the Q&A's in a row at the end makes them less effective. If you're going to do that, why don't you just have one big Q&A including everyone. Alternatively, if you could spread them out or put them closer to the relevant report outs (like the IAOC where the report is at the very beginning of the plenary, and the open mic is several hours later...)	Nov 29, 2012 10:34 AM
3	The break mid-session worked very well for me!	Nov 27, 2012 5:48 AM
4	One Plenary with wine is good.	Nov 26, 2012 6:55 PM
5	There's probably a better way to handle open mike. This time, the IAB was on the stage and all the questions were for the IAOC, except for the questions that were for the IESG.	Nov 26, 2012 2:22 PM
6	I am not convinced by the single plenary format. There was pressure to cut the lines at the mics, but I think that is the most important part of the plenaries.	Nov 23, 2012 3:30 PM
7	Go back to separating the plenaries. Don't short-change the technical plenary - it's very important for education, and promoting cross-disciplinary activity. However, I appreciated the beer/wine break !	Nov 23, 2012 3:41 AM
8	Plenary! not Plenaries ...	Nov 22, 2012 8:06 AM
9	too sad that the live transscription was too expensive ;-(Nov 22, 2012 5:41 AM
10	The combined plenary took too long, even with a break. I'm not convinced that the "technical" section is a success, except rarely.	Nov 22, 2012 4:23 AM
11	The combined plenary went 4.5 hours, considerably longer than was scheduled, and by the time the technical program began, many of the original attendees had left. Overall, I do not believe that the combined Wednesday plenary is an experiment worth repeating.	Nov 21, 2012 5:53 PM
12	I like the focus on topics over numbers. Keep it up.	Nov 21, 2012 5:49 PM
13	Limit discussions about process.	Nov 21, 2012 5:33 PM
14	trying to keep it shorter but more dense then	Nov 21, 2012 4:28 PM
15	The plenary was very long and went late. Planning for it to cut through normal dinner time is not a good idea - a lot of people left early and I heard many comments that folks were hungry and couldn't pay attention anymore. The suggestion to hold it on Sunday or on Monday morning as an introduction to the week is a good one. Holding the plenary earlier in the day would also be good - people start to lose focus as dinnertime approaches.	Nov 21, 2012 3:46 PM
16	I prefer one over two.	Nov 21, 2012 3:35 PM
17	Not sure how effective a combined plenary was.	Nov 21, 2012 3:27 PM
18	Need to figure out some way to make them more technically relevant, and encourage people to think about IETF areas beyond their own niche. I realize	Nov 21, 2012 2:54 PM

Page 3, Q22. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	this is hard	
19	Perhaps allow for email submission of questions to be answered at the plenary.	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
20	Not enough room!!!	Nov 21, 2012 2:16 PM
21	There's no magic fix for the problem of plenaries that keeps getting discussed at the plenaries. The plenaries are important. The IETF leadership (IESG, IAB, IAOC) should think carefully about how to make good use of everyone's time at the plenaries. Not every IETF has to have plenary sessions just like the previous IETF meeting. But some things really ought to be brought to the attention of the entire IETF community. Maybe depending upon what is cooking in the IETF the number and length of plenary sessions should vary from meeting to meeting. And by saying that, I am implying that IETF leadership should think carefully about how much plenary time should be scheduled for *each* IETF meeting. If handled right, the plenary sessions could be a very good and productive thing.	Nov 21, 2012 1:38 PM
22	Not sure - timing was a problem, as I wound up having to make slides. Monday night might be better than Wednesday to provide more opportunities for follow-up discussions on plenary topics during the week.	Nov 21, 2012 1:33 PM
23	Admin and Tech together seem to be ok. Some more dynamic the updates and to focus in the highlights seems to be better than the actual format.	Nov 21, 2012 1:13 PM
24	Split the plenary into two again; a single long plenary is too long to concentrate.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
25	I would say that more case studies from around the world should be shared and people from the industry should also be invited.	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
26	A *few* (four) more slides from the IETF Chair.	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
27	combined format worked well for me.	Nov 21, 2012 12:29 PM
28	Combining with food/beverage break in between was great	Nov 21, 2012 12:23 PM
29	It is weird to only have one. I liked the comment that we should have had Van's talk at the last IETF at the plenary instead of in some working group.	Nov 21, 2012 12:14 PM
30	The wine in the middle was a move of genius :)	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM
31	The open mic time is important. I know we've not been using it, but we need to have it available. I suggest going back to two plenaries, so it's safer to run over, and I would prefer to see open mics along the way for questions re: the reports.	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM
32	Finally! Combining the plenaries is a good move. Measurement topic was disappointing though - no significant discussion of technical challenges or outcomes that would have been interesting to the community. Could have been much better.	Nov 21, 2012 11:54 AM
33	I did not like the "one" plenary format. I felt that the technical issues discussed were not discussed in enough depth due to the lack of time to move onto other plenary functions. I liked the "two" plenary format of previous IETFs where one plenary was dedicated to technical issues.	Nov 21, 2012 11:53 AM

34 I like the single plenary format.

Nov 21, 2012 11:52 AM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

1	keep the dual/combined plenaries	Dec 24, 2012 2:51 PM
2	Location in Urban city areas,walking distance to restaurant and coffee choices. Vancouver was better than ATL. Comfortable hotel rooms.	Nov 26, 2012 6:55 PM
3	the use of standard English in the meetings	Nov 25, 2012 9:05 PM
4	Lower costs of hotel rooms ;-)	Nov 21, 2012 4:29 PM
5	Continue that way, overall organisation is very good	Nov 21, 2012 4:28 PM
6	Chair massage	Nov 21, 2012 3:53 PM
7	no more Atlanta meetings!	Nov 21, 2012 3:42 PM
8	Separate the plenaries again.	Nov 21, 2012 3:27 PM
9	This meeting was very very good for venues with chairs for casual meetings. I hope all future meetings will be as good.	Nov 21, 2012 2:48 PM
10	I have a particular problem with IETF/T10 meeting conflicts, but I understand the scheduling difficulties that cause these conflicts.	Nov 21, 2012 1:33 PM
11	Less venues in the USA or North America. More Europe, Asia and try new venues in Latin America and Africa.	Nov 21, 2012 1:13 PM
12	Can we please have lunch later? 11:30 is far too early.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
13	I would like us to seriously explore having fewer in-person meetings, but getting the same dynamic we have from in-person meetings using the Internet.	Nov 21, 2012 1:07 PM
14	if possible lunches should be provided as it provides a great networking opportunity as I experienced when I attended IETF 76 at Hiroshima	Nov 21, 2012 12:56 PM
15	Ask the hotels to please remove 3-4 rows of chairs, especially at the plenary, and move the rows of chairs 2-3" further apart. I think that this would let people actually get into the middle of the rows, increasing the density of people, and actually increasing available seating. This matters most at plenary, but also in WG meetings. And it would make getting to the mike easier. I like the tables at the front. There could be more. (I realize that in many places, the chairs attach to each other left/right, and so that spacing is hard to adjust. Atlanta did not have these kind of chairs, so increasing the left/right spacing would also help)	Nov 21, 2012 12:54 PM
16	I wish that it wasn't such a scramble to get into the meeting hotel. I don't mind strolling a few blocks, even in inclement weather, but it would be more convenient to be able to take a short elevator ride to drop something off in my hotel room between sessions.	Nov 21, 2012 12:49 PM
17	Drop the friday afternoon to let people go back home. (one lost WE is enough).	Nov 21, 2012 12:36 PM
18	Fewer working groups, longer sessions or more availability for sessions.	Nov 21, 2012 12:25 PM
19	Nothing, just keep going to Hiltons. I think that in general they do a great job of focusing on the important things and getting them right rather without wasting too	Nov 21, 2012 12:23 PM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	much on showy displays and frills.	
20	I have seen some program overlappings for new comers, especially people from academia would like to attend internet research trucks and BoFs. As a newcomer and from academia, I missed some of the sessions because of overlapping. So I attended the BoFs but I missed the IIRTF sessions.	Nov 21, 2012 12:21 PM
21	Ponies!!!!	Nov 21, 2012 12:16 PM
22	Ban PowerPoint and all similar tools. Have whiteboards instead. Or if you must have PowerPoint, limit each speaker to 10 slides, each of which must contain a drawing that helps illustrate what the speaker is trying to convey. Don't permit more than 50% of the time in any WG meeting or BOF to be scheduled for presentations. The rest of the time should be for discussion and debate. That's what these meetings are for. Discourage passive "lurkers" who are just taking up space in the room with their heads in laptops. Don't provide power or wireless in the meeting rooms, or make them available only for the meeting chair and jabber scribe. (Separate SSID, password protected). Have a single audience microphone in each meeting. Multiple queues are hard to manage. Change the seating at the meetings so that it's easier to get up to speak at a microphone if you're sitting in the middle of a row. As a rough stab I'd say that chairs should be grouped in areas of six rows of six chairs each, with an aisle on either side (even if the group of chairs is next to a wall).	Nov 21, 2012 12:16 PM
23	At one point, there were visible timers for questions; that might have helped the final session.	Nov 21, 2012 12:09 PM
24	i liked having always-available water coolers.	Nov 21, 2012 12:05 PM
25	I know this is a space consideration, but having more tables and making the chairs less cramped would be nice.	Nov 21, 2012 11:59 AM
26	I prefer the old-style agenda with evening sessions until 10:00PM	Nov 21, 2012 11:53 AM

Page 6, Q27. How would you rate the audio streaming, Jabber rooms, and Meetecho in support of your participation?

1	to the real place for meeting	Nov 21, 2012 12:08 PM
---	-------------------------------	-----------------------